



*100 Years*

*- towards redefining the  
development paradigm*



**AHEAD Initiatives**

---

*Addressing Hunger, Empowerment And Development*

---

## *Introduction*

Humanity is poised at a critical juncture of its evolution where in a short period of historical time there has been an interplay of various worldviews through which we seek to understand our existence and evolve sustainable development pathways.

Development models produced since the 1970s, despite constant revision have clearly failed to live up to the expectations they raised. This is perhaps because development has itself been defined far too exclusively in terms of tangibles such as food, water, houses, etc. important as they may be, do not necessarily engender development or fulfillment. We need to recognize development in the context of the capacity of communities to define their futures to achieve ‘a satisfactory intellectual, emotional, moral and spiritual existence’

In our effort to harmonize our common cultural heritage and evolve a shared ‘ethic’ we need to remember that our society comprises of a varied complex of mental structures and sets of understandings which determine diverse world views that are the basis of our sense of fulfilment. There is thus a need to recognize this cultural diversity and see local knowledge systems and values, which while open to innovation and renewal, are also rooted in tradition and inextricably linked to local development, people’s sense of ownership and their perception of development. Failure to recognise these differences, coupled with our effort to seek social / economic transformations which are often an

---

---

outcome of our own world view has resulted in much of the friction seen nationally and globally.

In this context it is thus important to examine anew the cultural basis of our development paradigm and explore development pathways which are sustainable and include those non tangible elements of development and progress which are normative in nature.

Culture is an indivisible part of development when understood not simply in terms of economic growth, but also as a means of achieving a satisfactory intellectual, emotional, moral and spiritual existence. Culture is intrinsic to sustainable human development because it is our cultural values which determine our goals and our sense of fulfillment. Culture is the means by which we begin to understand our experience, our hopes, desires and fears — and to see them in a context of society from family to nation and world. It is through culture that we build identity, that essential component of humanity and community and whereby we communicate our ideas, feelings and insights. Culture allows us to learn and develop as people. Its role is so fundamental that it is inevitably the starting point in a more broad based sustainable development paradigm.

This development may be defined as that set of capacities that allows groups, communities and nations to define their futures in an integrated manner. Development processes which fail to recognise this, which simplistically divide people's resources from their aspirations, or their health from how they feel, struggle to produce lasting improvements in people's lives.

---

---

Instead, we have to engage with development in the context of and through the medium of human cultures.

Culture is far more than entertainment & the performing arts. It represents a cumulative body of knowledge, know-how, practices and representations. These sophisticated sets of understandings, interpretations and meanings are part and parcel of a cultural complex that encompasses language, naming and classification systems, resource use practices, ritual, spirituality and worldview. This local and indigenous knowledge is a key resource for empowering communities to combat marginalization, poverty and impoverishment.

People's attitudes and lifestyles, their responsiveness to educational programmes, their sense of ownership of the drive to preserve a decent future for ensuing generations are all intimately linked to their own cultural identities and values, and no worldwide commitment to sustainable development will get anywhere without that recognition.

In our effort to open this debate we have translated into Bengali a short exposition of these issues under the title of '*Nabodisha*' which is presented here in its entirety in English. We also hope to publish a monthly in Bengali under the same title so as to provide a continuing forum for exchange and debate.

AHEAD Initiatives

---

---

## **About AHEAD Initiatives**

AHEAD Initiatives brings together over a hundred years of experience in Rural Development stretching from exemplary grassroots Action Research & Capacity Building to successful Advocacy, which has led to policy changes in Local Governance.

It has been constituted as a Not-for-Profit Association under Section 25 of the Companies Act, 1956 to ensure greater transparency, accountability and monitoring which would help inspire public confidence and participation. It hopes to transform itself into a widely held Not-for-Profit Association which, while focusing on basic issues of Hunger will also endeavour to bridge the cultural divide and work towards redefining a more sustainable development paradigm

## **Our Objectives**

The main objects are to:

- Alleviate poverty by addressing Hunger and Food insecurity as the primary focus of its development endeavour
  - Engage with development in the context of and through the medium of human cultures.
  - Promote a society where people of diverse cultures are able to define their own development paradigm and fulfill their economic, social, cultural, and spiritual aspirations.
-

---

## **Our Activities**

This it hopes to do by:

- Strengthening Local Self-Government to become inclusive, participatory, just and efficient institutions of the people which address food & livelihood security, health, education and other primary entitlements.
  - Advocating sustainable and decentralized Natural Resource Management as the principal means of addressing hunger and food and livelihood insecurity.
  - Promoting the performing arts and artistic expression as the medium of communication whereby we understand our experiences, ideas, feelings and insights and build identity, that essential component of humanity and community which allows us to learn and develop as people.
  - Supporting communities and in particular the marginalized of the rural milieu to build on their capacity to pursue sustainable development and strengthen their own cultural identities and values through renewal and innovation.
  - Advocating necessary changes in society and governance so that powers, responsibilities and functions move from the community outwards only on the basis of necessity through concentric tiers of governance, from the local through the state to the national and global.
  - Fostering the use of local and indigenous knowledge as a key resource for empowering communities to combat
-

---

marginalization, poverty and impoverishment. Knowledge which includes know-how, resource use practices and representations which embody the sophisticated sets of understandings, interpretations and meanings that are part and parcel of a cultural complex that encompasses language, naming and classification systems, ritual, spirituality and worldview to harmonise development with culture and spirituality.

- Advocating a more holistic approach to development which does not divorce the material from the non material and recognizes the unity of all belief systems and the need to relate development to the whole of human existence

AHEAD Initiatives' present work targets the food insecure poor as evinced by the startling data brought to light by the comprehensive Rural Household Survey 2005. It also intends to advocate this focus as part of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Alongside it has launched an effort to forge an alliance of field level organisations which share its belief that development is inexorably linked to local culture

AHEAD Initiatives has been issued a special license (100531) as a Not-for-Profit Association by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs, New Delhi. Its Corporate Identification number (CIN) is U85300WB2009NPL134655 (2009-2010).

---

---

**CULTURES, SPIRITUALITY  
AND  
DEVELOPMENT**

---

---

*Abridged from an exposition published by*

**World Faiths Development Dialogue (WFDD)**

33-37 Stockmore Street, Oxford OX4 1JT United Kingdom

*Reproduced by*

 AHEAD Initiatives

5/1/2/G Cornfield Road, Kolkata - 700019

---

---

## **Contents**

### **1. Transforming International Development**

- 1.1. Moving away from the old paradigm: Who is to decide what is positive or negative within any given cultural context?
- 1.2. Multilateral development agencies consider culture: What are the practical implications of this commitment to more inclusive approaches?
- 1.3. The status of cultures in development thinking today: Is a respect for traditional cultures incompatible with modernisation?
- 1.4. Knowing peoples' culture: How should this knowledge be used ?
- 1.5. The sacred kernel of reality: How can this be recognised?

### **2. Culture as a Life pattern**

- 2.1. A useful definition: How do we understand culture?
  - 2.2. Development is cultural: How can local cultures and development programmes be mutually enhancing?
  - 2.3. The creative power of culture: How are people creating alternatives to development models which they perceive as a threat?
  - 2.4. Three caveats when using culture: Against what should we be warned?
-

---

### **3. Towards a code of Conduct**

- 3.1. Examining our motivations and knowing ourselves: Why do we want to work in development? Are we ready to learn from other cultures?
- 3.2. Modesty, empathy and respect: What right to we have to study people's lives and plan their development? How should this be done?
- 3.3. Identifying the voiceless: Who can speak for whom?

### **4. Methodological Hints for Socio-cultural analysis**

- 4.1. The need to cope with complexity: Do our analytical methods distort reality?
- 4.2. Looking at the positive aspects of a local group: What are the most valuable assets which people may bring to a development programme?
- 4.3. Looking at the signs: "What are the dreams of the people? What has been their experience of pain?"

### **5. Conclusion**

- 5.1. To give a soul to globalisation: Which is the way to human freedom?
  - 5.2. Neither cultural apartheid nor a globalising merger: How can globalisation be used as a force to foster diversity in unity?
-

## **1. Transforming International Development**

### **1.1. Moving away from the old paradigm: Who is to decide what is positive or negative within any given cultural context?**

In the 1950s and '60s, the multilateral institutions and the governments of the industrialised countries began to draw up development<sup>1</sup> strategies for the “developing countries” of the South. Broadly speaking, their aim was to achieve visible goals associated with the material development in the Northern hemisphere<sup>2</sup>. Much development planning has consequently been inspired by a vision of history as a linear evolution, and conceived of as a way of “catching up” up with “modernity”.

The process has been centred on the importance of economic growth and the central role of development experts. It has been embedded in an understanding of knowledge which gives priority to technology and science and it has been guided by a dualistic view of the world, which separates the material from the spiritual. That the former has been granted precedence over the latter is made apparent by the scarcity of any mention in development literature of cultural, let alone spiritual concerns. This has occurred, despite the fact that religious beliefs are the prime

---

<sup>1</sup> The term “development” is used in this paper for want of a better one, but we are aware of the fact that it is a term which many people associate with an imposition of the values and rationality of the industrialised countries and their particular view of “progress”, none of which are universally accepted. Some people therefore speak now of “alternatives to development”

<sup>2</sup> Rostow W.W., *The Stages of Economic Growth. A Non-Communist Manifesto*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1960

source of guidance and support for most people in the world, especially those who are materially the poorest.

Over the past two decades, many people have come to see that this approach to development has contributed to the destruction of many societies and community structures. It has brought with it the imposition of the cultural norms of the development institutions and their agents, as though these had some kind of universal validity. The concept of private property and the encouragement of competition over co-operation are just two examples of what have been promoted as universal norms.

The sustainable livelihood of people whose customs and value systems do not accommodate them have often been jeopardised as a result.

Some of the most glaring examples of this kind of destruction of traditional ways of life can be found among indigenous peoples, such as the Guaraní, Quechua or Maya in Latin America or the Masai in East Africa. But the dilemma of the cost of entering “modernity” is not faced by indigenous cultures alone. There are many people outside the tribal communities in India, for example, who vehemently oppose the rapid spread of Western values and life-styles, which they see as detrimental to much of what they most cherish in their culture, especially attitudes and customs related to their spiritual beliefs

To-day there is an increasing (though probably insufficient) awareness that quite different paths can be taken to improve human welfare, and that no programme can bring positive and lasting results unless it is well anchored in the cultural norms and values of the affected society. The central role of people, with their aspirations, attitudes, mentality, values, beliefs, spirituality and sense of the sacred and of happiness, and with their own

skills, know-how and creativity is gradually beginning to be recognised as a pre-requisite for the success of development programmes.

As all religions would confirm, to become fully human is not only about improving one's material condition. That human beings do not live by bread alone is not only a Christian concept. As a Mayan woman from Mexico put it: "The heart of our struggle, the soul of our vision for a better future is to be able to live with dignity on the basis of our culture. Our culture tells us that our economic activities cannot be separated from social and religious life and cannot be reduced to economics..."<sup>3</sup>

To stress life's invisible and non-material dimensions seems to be interpreted by some as entertaining a romantic vision of material deprivation. But few people would defend living conditions which negate fundamental human freedom and dignity and which are offensive to social justice and equity.

Cultures which discriminate on the basis of gender, race or creed, for example, - as many do - should surely be open to change. This does not, however, justify the all too common tendency of visiting experts to pass hasty judgement on other cultures, as if their own views were value-free and grounded in abstract objectivity.

Indeed, one of the questions often asked in debates on the issue of culture is: Who is to decide what is positive or negative within any given cultural context? The Nobel Prize winning economist, Amartya Sen, is unambivalent in his suggestion that people must

---

<sup>3</sup> Interview of Maya representatives in Mexico, 19 February 1998; South-North Network Cultures and Development (private notes of Th. Verhelst). See also the Network's journal *Cultures and Development*, No. 29/30 July 1997, on "Local Cultures and Economic Organisations"

set their own priorities: “If a traditional way of life has to be sacrificed to escape grinding poverty or minuscule longevity (as many traditional societies have had for thousands of years), then it is the people directly involved who must have the opportunity to participate in deciding what should be chosen.”<sup>4</sup>

Susan Waffa-Ogoo, Secretary of State for Tourism and Culture of the Gambia agrees. “It is not all of our societal norms and values, customs and beliefs that can be said to enhance development”, she says, “but there are those that have helped to keep our people together for centuries and are such an important value system, that in spite of increasing modernisation and development, they need to be preserved for posterity. I believe this is where the equation lies, showing that development is inextricably linked to the people, for whom it should bring some fulfilment in life and thus improve upon their living standards in a sustainable way.”<sup>5</sup>

## **1.2. Multilateral development agencies consider culture: What are the practical implications of this commitment to more inclusive processes?**

The socio-cultural aspects of development are now established as elements of the official development agenda. In 1995, the World Commission on Culture and Development, chaired by former United Nations Secretary General Javier Pérez de Cuéllar, published, with UNESCO, a report on the importance of the contribution of different cultures to the world, entitled *Our*

---

<sup>4</sup> Sen, Amartya, *Development as Freedom*, Oxford University Press 1999, p.31

<sup>5</sup> *Culture Counts: Financing, Resources and the Economics of Culture in Sustainable Development*, World Bank 2000 p.52

*Creative Diversity*.<sup>6</sup> And in its report on poverty for the year 2000, the United Nations Development Programme draws attention to: “A new generation of poverty programmes” which “focus on building community organisations to directly articulate people’s needs and priorities – rather than concentrating on income-generating activities alone.”<sup>7</sup>

Some years ago, the World Bank published a paper on *Using Knowledge from Social Science in Development Projects*, which squarely recognised the need for socio-cultural analysis. It would be interesting to enquire to what degree its warning has been heeded that: “The penalty for not carrying out the social analysis and not incorporating social knowledge into financially induced growth programs is costly and swift”. The paper reports on a study of 57 World-Bank financed projects which examined the association between the socio-cultural fit (or misfit) of project design and the estimated economic rate of return at project implementation (audit) time. It found that the socio-culturally compatible projects studied had twice the average rate of return of the non-compatible ones.<sup>8</sup>

More recent documents issued by the World Bank, such as the *World Development Report 2000/2001(WDR)*<sup>9</sup> and *Voices of the Poor*<sup>10</sup> 10 , indicate a growing attention to such immaterial and

---

<sup>6</sup> *Our Creative Diversity*, Report of the World Commission on Culture and Development, Javier Pérez de Cuéllar, dir., UNESCO Publishing, Paris 1995

<sup>7</sup> UNDP Poverty Report 2000: *On Overcoming Human Poverty*, p.12

<sup>8</sup> Cernea, Michael M., *Using Knowledge from Social Science in Development Projects*, World Bank Discussion Paper, 1991

<sup>9</sup> *World Development Report 2000/2001: Attacking Poverty*, World Bank, Oxford University Press, London, 2000

<sup>10</sup> *Voices of the Poor. Can Anyone Hear us?* (Deepa Narayan, dir.), World Bank, Oxford University Press, London, 2000

culture-related issues as dignity and freedom and the centrality of local conditions. The *WDR* speaks of demand driven assistance<sup>11</sup> and, quite significantly, it mentions that “solutions that accommodate different perspectives on development” constitute one of the challenges in reforming international development practice. It concludes that “history shows that uniformity is undesirable and that development is determined to a great extent by local conditions, including social institutions, social capability ...”<sup>12</sup>

In his opening address to a conference on culture and development in October 1999, James D. Wolfensohn, the current president of the World Bank repeated his often-stated belief in the importance on a focus on cultural issues. “..However you define culture”, he said, “it is increasingly clear that those of us working in the field of sustainable development ignore it at our peril”.<sup>13</sup>

### **1.3. The status of cultures in development thinking today: Is a respect for traditional cultures incompatible with modernisation?**

All this is encouraging, but the importance of cultural issues to development is far from being universally accepted, even within institutions whose public policy statements would lead us to think otherwise. The socio-cultural impact of a programme, even within many non-governmental organisations (NGOs), is often considered a “soft” issue and reduced to a subsidiary question at the bottom of a questionnaire. The failure to take it seriously, in

---

<sup>11</sup> *World Development Report* (see footnote no. 9) pp.192 and 200

<sup>12</sup> *World Development report* (see footnote no. 9) p. 194.

<sup>13</sup> “Culture Counts”, conference co-sponsored by the government of Italy and the World Bank with the co-operation of UNESCO, Florence, October 1999.

comparison with economics, technology and infrastructure, for example, is undoubtedly partly to be explained by the difficulty of quantifying and evaluating the cultural impact of any piece of work.

But, as James Wolfensohn points out, we ignore culture at our peril. The issue of cultural norms is at the heart of many current debates. There are some types of behaviour which are judged very differently in different cultures. What is seen by some to be corruption by government or other officials, for example, is understood by others merely as the fulfilment of traditional expectations that gifts should be given to one's family or clan members.

There are schools of thought which see the plurality of cultures in the world as a danger, rather than as a source of enrichment. Samuel Huntington foretells a "clash of civilisations", as the forces of globalisation and modernisation bring challenges to the values and beliefs which provide the bedrock to the cultures of certain regions of the world<sup>14</sup>.

Moreover, those who still understand development to mean catching up with the material standard of living of the industrialised societies, perceive the world view of certain cultures as obstacles to this sort of progress, on account of their approach to economics, to time, to community and to nature, as well as their religious beliefs, their social organisation and decision-making processes. There are still many people who believe that the job of development agencies is to bring such cultures into the modern age, even at the cost of destroying them.

---

<sup>14</sup> Huntington, Samuel, P. *The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of the World Order*, Simon and Schuster, New York, 1996

And, of course, there are plausible-sounding arguments. David Landes speaks of “toxic cultures which handicap those who cling to them... in their ability to compete in a modern world”. He then points to the unequal distribution of wealth and the *machismo* of Latin America as an example.<sup>15</sup>

Easy causal connections aside, the question here is not whether these cultural characteristics are to be defended, but by what standard they are to be judged. Is Landes justified in his assumption that the cultural characteristics of Latin America are to be assessed as good or bad according to the extent to which they fulfil the “duty” of that continent to “keep up” (presumably with its northern neighbour)?

In this context, it is important to note that the gradual opening up to more culture-sensitive approaches is linked to an increasing unease in the world about the shortcomings of “modernity”. Many see our era as characterised by an undifferentiated obsession with technology, consumerism, the desire for quick profits (and quick solutions), and a general lack of respect for those who are left out of the benefits of the growth of prosperity. The supremacy of science and technology, greater efficiency and the reliance on heightened managerial skills to solve problems have all been unable to bring an end to hunger and malnutrition. Moreover widening disparities between the rich and the poor, social injustices, environmental destruction and a creeping depression and sense of meaninglessness are all products of our age. In this context, a growing number of people are eager to see how different cultural approaches to development can enrich and enhance each other.

---

<sup>15</sup> *Culture Counts* (see footnote no. 5) p.30.

It is not a question of rejecting all the benefits brought by modern scientific knowledge, but of weighing these up against the cultural losses they often imply. As Denis Goulet puts it: “Chronic malnutrition and high mortality rates are doubtless dehumanising evils which ought to be abolished; and abolition requires the application of technology and “modern” techniques. What is crucial, however, is that the people affected be helped to become fully conscious of the value implications inherent in proposed innovations.”<sup>16</sup> Planners, educators and technicians (both from South and North), says Goulet, must take the responsibility for being explicit about and appraising the trade-offs in values implied in their own recommendations.

#### **1.4. Knowing peoples’ culture : How should this knowledge be used ?**

In the light of the increasing attention being paid to culture, it is important to understand that the desire to understand the culture of a community may have different goals. There have been cases when the knowledge, or partial knowledge of cultural issues has been used to integrate communities into programmes designed in another context by people of another culture, or even to deceive communities into believing that non-existent benefits will come their way. By restricting itself to an instrumental use of culture, this approach clearly misses the point that this paper is trying to make, since it excludes the possibility of any genuine empathy and relationship of mutual learning between the development worker and the would-be beneficiaries.

---

<sup>16</sup> Goulet, Denis, *The Cruel Choice, A New Concept in the Theory of Development*, Appendix III, New York, Atheneum, (original 1971) 1978

---

An unfortunate example of this way of using culture are the many income-generating co-operatives set up by NGOs in various African countries in the 1980s. Building on the collective way of doing things, which the development workers discovered within the communities, they quickly attracted people to take part in their programmes. But by overlooking the fact that traditional community ties in most African countries are based on a network of complex loyalties rather than financial considerations, in many cases they undermined community relations, causing rivalries and dissent.<sup>17</sup>

But in other cases, a knowledge of their culture has been used to empower communities, so as to help their members to achieve more autonomy and engage in cultural regeneration and an assertive citizenship. There are many cases of programmes which, through respecting the experience, knowledge and outlook of traditional (often religious) leaders, have taken advantage of the authority they already.

enjoy within their communities to train them to be highly effective “multipliers” of modern agricultural, marketing or medical knowledge. Thousands of traditional midwives all over the world have, for example, been trained in skills such as the treatment with local substances of trace mineral deficiencies, or oral re-hydration as a way to combat the effects of diarrhoea. But these have complemented, rather than replaced, the midwives’ age-old wisdom, which often brings with it a stronger concern for the emotional and psychological state of the mother than most modern treatments.

---

<sup>17</sup> Verhelst Thierry: *No Life Without Roots: Culture and Development*, pp.26 & 112, London Zed Books, 1990

Genuinely entering into another culture in this way, invariably involves an openness to spiritual and religious concerns and an awareness that development of any sort cannot be restricted to technical skills alone. The training of traditional midwives would never have been possible, had not their beliefs about birth and the spirituality and rituals surrounding it been respected and acknowledged to be beneficial and important to those taking part.

Our contention is that an understanding of cultures and their underlying spirituality and religious traditions can and should open the way to a new, less materialistic and technocratic development paradigm and to criteria for success which are people-based and all-embracing. It thus results in a broadening of the scope of both the objectives and of the methodology to identify those objectives.

This leads us beyond a dualistic approach, which separates spirit from matter, culture from economics, ethics from growth, and a sense of the sanctity of nature and human beings from rationalistic planning, based on quantifiable data and mathematical models.

In practice this must mean that support should no longer be given to development programmes which destroy people's capacity to manage their economic lives according to their own cultural values. It is not easy, without a specific context, to mention the positive actions required. However, one clear implication is the adoption of an approach to development which transcends the boundaries of the different sectors, such as health, education, agriculture etc., so that different aspects of people's lives may be considered as a whole.

Another implication is for a more truly participative methodology than is normally the case. This calls for more time and resources

for genuine consultation among various different groups within the community, as well as with the development professional. It calls, too, for an ability on the part of the latter to listen to and incorporate local wisdom and experience.

### **1.5. The sacred kernel of reality: How can this be recognised?**

“If the doors of perception were cleansed, everything would appear to man as it is: infinite”, wrote the English poet, William Blake, two centuries ago. Religious wisdom anywhere in the world would express a similar conviction.

Most religions believe that there is a sacred kernel in every person, some would say in all of reality. If this is true, in order to be all-encompassing, knowledge must take it into account. Spirituality is not a speciality which can be isolated. It functions in symbiosis with the rest of our human faculties.

Spirituality is to be incorporated, not juxtaposed. According to this understanding, if development is to relate to the whole of human existence, analyses, planning and development strategies will have to take into account transcendence - that depth of freedom, infinity and interconnectedness, which is inherent to all human beings. Such an understanding requires a sense of mystery which enlightens from within, so as to open up our minds to an approach which does not separate the spiritual from the material. This is not to become irrational, but to become<sup>8</sup> conscious of the unknown, which some religions see as the divine within. It is an essential process, if reason is to be recuperated from reductionist rationalism, which excludes any other kinds of knowledge. This perception of the sacred kernel of reality is not easily absorbed into current development theory and practice, which perceives the calculated search for earthly happiness as an overwhelmingly

material goal to be acquired through one's own effort. Professional development workers who are steeped in a utilitarian view and restricted by bureaucratic systems which emphasise control will not find it easy, either, to acquire the quality of detachment and "letting go". Yet this is at the heart of the teaching of all religious and many philosophical traditions, as distinct in their nature as Pantanjali's yoga or Seneca's Stoicism, Bantu proverbs or Tao-inspired body movements, Zen or the Bible, Quechua wisdom or Sufi mysticism. Perhaps one of the key challenges for our age is to bring together these different views in a dynamic relationship and thus to find a way forward which leads to true contentment and peace.

Difficulties there are, but everyone can make a start. Merely to develop an awareness of the notion of the sacred at the heart of the lives of most people, is a good beginning. An understanding of the essential importance of Candomblé deities, Catholic saints and Carnival in the daily lives, concerns and value systems of the vast majority of Brazilians, for example, will shed light on how people from Brazil view realities such as life and death, freedom, land and wealth. It may also be important to be able to differentiate between a religious perspective or understanding of life on one hand and the institutionalisation of religion on the other. Religions themselves have a fundamental message to deliver about an integrated vision of the world, a different approach to knowledge and the basic values which hold human societies together. But it is painfully obvious that religious institutions, sharing, as they do, the flaws of all humanity, have often failed to act in accordance with their vision. Inter-religious violence, "communalism", aggressive proselytising, and unpalatable manoeuvring for power or money are real obstacles to

social and economic well-being in and of themselves. They embody challenges which call for repentance and renewal, for a return to the original fire of each faith.

## **2. Culture as a Life Pattern**

### **2.1. A useful definition: How do we understand culture?**

Integrating the cultural dimension into development can help in acquiring a less reductive and more all-embracing approach. That means that development partners, especially the people affected, have to make special efforts to integrate culture from the earliest stages. For purposes of development work, it is useful to look at culture as, on one hand, an aid for coping with negative influences and pressures and, on the other, a creative and joyous response to people's relationship with themselves, with others, with the community and with the environment.

A given culture has three "dimensions": the symbolic dimension (values, symbols, archetypes, myths, spirituality, religion - or often several different religions, etc); the societal dimension (organizational patterns for family and community linkages and support, systems for management, including business management, and political systems for decision making and conflict resolution, etc.); and the technological dimension (skills, know-how, technology, agriculture, cooking, architecture, etc). Art, law and language provide us with examples of the inextricable intertwining of these three dimensions. Culture does not belong only to the past. It evolves due to outside influences and to the fact that people innovate and create new cultural traits. In a given culture, there are, therefore, some elements which are inherited, and others which are adopted and created

We suggest that a handy definition would therefore be that culture is “the complex whole of knowledge, wisdom, values, attitudes, customs and multiple resources which a community has inherited, adopted or created in order to flourish in the context of its social and natural environment.”

## **2.2. Development is cultural: How can local cultures and development programmes be mutually enhancing?**

Culture may be relegated to a place of secondary importance because it is difficult to include cultural issues into a model for action which sets objectives at the beginning and uses only quantifiable data. But a process oriented approach, with more emphasis on qualitative evaluation, can lead us to appreciate and take account of the fact that culture is far from an addition to life, the icing on the economic and technological cake. On the contrary, it permeates all aspects of life. It contains the local perception of the meaning of life and of what simply constitutes a “good life” to a local population. It is a matrix, the soft-ware of social life, its "symbolic engine". It can be a source of positive dynamism. Conversely, it can lead to inertia, if it becomes what Paulo Freire called “a culture of silence”, with an internalized inferiority complex, leading to dependence.<sup>18</sup>

Cultural revitalisation is then called for, in order to enhance development by generating a sense of self-confidence and mutual trust. This can lead to more participative democracy, to more responsible citizenship, to increased economic effectiveness, to creative technological change and to more sustainable poverty reduction. A lively culture is both a heritage and a project. It gives

---

<sup>18</sup> Freire, Paulo, *Cultural Action for Freedom*. Penguin Books 1972

meaning and direction. “Culture is like a seashell wherein we can hear whom we have been and listen to what we can become”. (Mexican poet Carlos Fuentes).

From the above follows the conclusion that any development process must be embedded in local culture, or development simply will not take place. In fact “de-development” often occurs in the absence of cultural sensitivity. All too often in the past, educational curricula have, for instance, failed to address what people most need and want to learn. The result has been that school attendance has been low and those who have succeeded in gaining good results have left their communities, since what they have learnt has no practical application there. In the worst of cases, schools have offered a vision of the world which is opposed to that of the pupils’ families. This leaves the pupils in the position of being forced to make a choice between loyalty to their homes or making the grade.

Cultural revitalisation can be brought about by culturally sensitive curriculum planning, which includes teaching in local languages, encouraging learning about regional and national history, geography and literature, and teaching technical skills which are of use in local agriculture and industries. A good example of this is the work of the Bahá’í-inspired NGO, FUNDAEC, which founded the University for Integral Development in Colombia.<sup>19</sup> The key learning processes promoted by the university are centred on alternative systems of production, appropriate formal education for children and youth, and strengthening local economies. The direction and elements of each process are dependent on the culture and context in which they are implemented.

---

<sup>19</sup> See web site [www.bcca.org/services/lists/noble-creation/fundaecl.html](http://www.bcca.org/services/lists/noble-creation/fundaecl.html)

### **2.3. The creative power of culture: How are people creating alternatives to development models which they perceive as a threat?**

When top-down development practices are hostile to the values of the people affected, local cultures may resist modernity and development. The failure of many development projects provides evidence of the ability of people to slow down, change or block what they see as a threat.<sup>20</sup> True, some communities seem to fall into fatalism, resulting in submission or apathy. Others reject new inputs with fear, lack of discernment and fanaticism. But there are plenty of examples of cultures which innovate and, through trial and error, set up alternatives.

No unique model is coming to the fore. But a large variety of cultural mixes are to be found, where local tradition mingles with imported modernity, capitalism with gift and counter-gift, streamlined business management with a village spirit and family-like bonds, and western development with local rationality.

Something else may be emerging, beyond the old opposition between tradition and modernity. Perhaps various kinds of local modernities (or trans-modernities) will arise, embedded in the creativity of each culture. Here are three examples to illustrate this point.

In Mexico City and the area surrounding it, Tianguis Tlaloc ( “Tianguis” means “Popular Market”) is an organisation which brings about a hundred small entrepreneurs into a system of exchange of products and services, within an environmental-friendly concept and with an alternative “currency”.

---

<sup>20</sup> Scott, James, *Forms of Resistance*, Yale University Press, New Haven, 1985

The tlaloc is the equivalent of one hour's work. It is used in addition to the Mexican peso as a step towards setting up an economy based on appreciation and gifts, rather than prices and profit. A bulletin is published every three months by "The Other Stock Exchange", whose yellow pages give information about offers which accept this "currency". Anyone can apply directly to the people offering the transactions.

This is but one example of thousands of alternative trading programmes, with or without their own currency, which are mushrooming all over the world. They are a form of resistance to an increasingly globalised economy which almost always works to the disadvantage of the poorest.

In Congo (then Zaire) an NGO project to introduce oxen for ploughing met with dismal failure because it attempted to turn local peasants into "modern" farmers. They were supposed to raise income for their nuclear family only, and the equipment given on loan was to be repaid through income generated without assistance from the broader community. The expected increase in production did not occur and most "beneficiaries" opted out. However, much to the surprise of those promoting the project, a socially and religiously vibrant community living about a hundred miles away sent two youngsters to look at the new technical inputs (ploughs; stables; fodder, etc.) and successfully introduced them at home with no financial or other kinds of assistance. The key to the puzzle, seemed to be the fact that the second community was able to adapt a new agricultural technique (ploughing with oxen) to the context of their traditional economic structures. The additional income raised in the second community was not appropriated by the individual nuclear families and thus the common interests of the entire community were recognised

and tensions in the group were avoided. In Mumbai, a women's co-operative producing *chapati* is steeped in the Hindu religion. The common kitchens are considered temples, the *chapati* an offering to the godhead, and all the women are seen as worshippers. Their work is thus elevated to the status of a sacred undertaking where precepts of the Bhagavad Gita relating to detachment from the fruits of the action (*nichkarma karma*) apply. The co-operative, which is run by mainly poor women, has not only raised the income of the community as a whole but has also increased the self-esteem and confidence of the women involved, by allowing them to share tasks, maintain a non-hierarchical atmosphere, and learn skills for the good of the group. It has branched out to other cities.

In conclusion, culture matters because it can be a source of dynamism and creativity. It is not purity which is most important in a culture, nor necessarily its antiquity, but its ability to adapt and be creative, and to screen and select from the many outside influences with which it is confronted. What matters in a culture is its capacity to generate self-respect, the ability to resist exploitation and domination, and to offer meaning to what people produce and consume, to land, liberty, life and death, pain and joy. Culture is, in the final analysis, about meaning. That is why it is related closely to spirituality.

#### **2.4. Three caveats when using culture: Against what should we be warned?**

It is useful to bear in mind the three following warnings when dealing with culture. Firstly, culture is not to be romanticised. No culture is ideal, nor is any culture static. All cultures have to evolve in our rapidly changing world. Many may need radical

challenges, changes and a greater balance, but these changes will only be brought about from within, since no outside view can be relied upon to be “right” in any permanent sense.

Gender issues provide a good illustration of rapid cultural change in societies of the West – and we should be aware that these views on gender are still subject to change. But gender issues can also illustrate how lasting attitudinal changes will only be brought about by shifts arising from within a society.

In the space of less than four years a locally-impelled movement, which began in 1997 in the village of Malicounda-Bambara in Senegal, resulted in the practice of female genital mutilation being abandoned in 200 communities nation wide and in several other African countries as well. This was made possible by the unity of the villagers and the support of a widely respected imam, but also by the removal of one of the main incentives to hold the practice in place. The change in attitude among the male villagers led to new ideas about the conditions for marriage. It was this which enabled people to comply, without jeopardising their future security. None of these changes could have happened without the local people’s conviction and leadership.

A second caveat is that culture is not to be isolated from economics and power relations. All these fields are interconnected and influence each other. Culture should not be regarded as something which hovers above people’s heads and determines them for ever. Cultures determine local economics which in turn determine culture, and both are influenced by power relations and technology.

Thirdly, caution must also be exercised in the face of generalisations about a "people", a community. Within a culture, sub-cultures abound, and they need to be taken into account, lest

generalising but erroneous interpretations are taken for granted, e.g. on issues of gender or caste, or when an ethnic group is prone to ignore the rightful existence of others.

A Brazilian *favela*, for example, has a distinct culture, a determinate attitude towards law, police and citizenship which slum dwellers have in common and which is quite different from the attitude of the formal “asphalt city” inhabitants. Yet inside that slum, differences abound. Drug dealers and base

Christian communities are very close to each other, yet do not form a single community. Women tend to have altogether a different outlook from that of men on violence and community affairs.

### **3. Towards a code of conduct**

#### **3.1. Examining our motivations and knowing ourselves: Why do we want to work in development? Are we ready to learn from other cultures?**

Great spiritual leaders have taught throughout the ages that even if actions are good in themselves, if they are undertaken for unworthy motives, they will, in the end, cause harm. Therefore we need to explore our inner depths. We need to know ourselves<sup>21</sup> Above all, it is important to examine why one should engage in development work at all and whether we are open to learning from others.

*Why are we engaging in development work?*

---

<sup>21</sup> Smith, Cyprian: *Spiritual life as taught by Meister Eckhart: The way of Paradox*, Darton, Longman and Todd, 1987, p.4

---

Mahatma Gandhi used to speak of a secret law linking social transformation (changing external structures) to personal improvement (changing oneself internally). Buddhists, Muslims, Christians, Hindus and others would all agree that social action is a task of such importance that it requires spiritual depth in those who undertake it. More than two thousand years ago, the Bhagavad Gita introduced conditions to be observed when one enters into action. They are still relevant today in development work or in any socio-political action for that matter.

One condition is to be “detached from the fruits of the action”, that is not to cling to ego-centred satisfaction, prestige or ensuing power or wealth. It is a call to avoid the inflation of the ego, to open up to the Self and to act with a combination of efficiency and gratuity. The second condition is to be aware that it is not we who act, but rather that it is a force from beyond, which may be of divine origin, which acts through us. The third condition is to consider all actions as an offering to the deity, a humble return of human willpower and skill to the ultimate and the transcendent.

On a personal level, though clearly important, it is very difficult to be “detached from the fruits of the action”, even when the survival, career success and self-esteem of those concerned do not depend on the outcomes of the action – which they usually do. But it is even more difficult for organisations which channel taxpayers’ or investors’ funds into development work to be unconcerned about the successes and failures of the people they employ to do it.

So what can this mean? Perhaps it is, again, a question of “letting go”, being less determined to control the outcome according to one’s own perceptions, and being more ready to recognise that success can be measured in many different ways according to

people's different priorities. In the end it is a question of remembering that the most important judges of the "fruits of the action" are the individuals and families who are supposed to benefit from it. Their views might well be different from that of the development worker or institution.

*Are we ready to learn from the people into whose lives we research, or with whom we are planning some programme?*

This question relates to the cross-fertilisation made possible by cultural interaction. People are not a void to be filled, but a plenitude to be approached with a sense of wonder and respect. Their culture is a reservoir of wisdom and skill, even if it has – as any culture does – its dark sides and oppressive characteristics.

Donors and experts may often bring with them useful resources and expertise which are desperately needed in many parts of the world. But if they fall into the trap of taking the centre stage in a development process or of playing the role of the bearers of solutions to other peoples' or even other countries' problems, the development assistance they are offering will remain a one-way traffic and never be sustainable. True progress will only be made when not only individual development workers, but also donor institutions and countries recognise their own short-comings and limitations, and when they accept being enriched, challenged and "assisted" by their "beneficiaries" (whom they in turn should challenge).

Indeed, different cultures very often open the eyes, minds and hearts of the outsiders who enter into the process of recognising them. However, this requires an opening up of one's deeper self to what seems alien in the other. To go through such an experience with a grassroots community, one has to jettison some

of one's most cherished intellectual convictions and to relativise one's all-encompassing reason.

This means abandoning some psychological security, and making oneself vulnerable. The other may then change us. The experts who avoid these challenges by sticking to a mechanistic approach, justified by their claim to use professional tools, will miss all the enrichment gained by entering into the complexity and the life and "warmth" of a community.

Surely it is against this broader background of reciprocity that future solidarity action should now be launched, in order to avoid the pitfalls of paternalism and ethnocentric do-goodism. We might call this empathetic approach "interactive self-discovery". The word "aid" should perhaps be replaced by "mutual enrichment". A new paradigm, that of reciprocity, should offer a framework for thinking about future interaction between North and South, West and East and a sense of co-responsibility for success and for failure.

### **3.2. Modesty, empathy and respect: What right to we have to study people's lives and plan their development? How should this be done?**

There is a degree of intrusiveness in social research and planning. People should at least be informed about the objectives of the research carried out in their community. They should retain control and ownership of the knowledge gathered about them by the researcher.

Studying from a distance, instructing, top-down planning, "controlled transformation" are all ways of imposing an agenda from outside. A better starting point is to ask people: "Tell us,

how do *you* do this. Please show us!" People themselves can be among the best producers of knowledge about themselves.

Research and planning only bear full fruit when they are intensively participative. This, as mentioned above, implies time, training and costs, but an outsider will learn more and gather qualitatively much more valuable information by holding up a mirror to a local community, than by researching or acting "on" people. Instead of being treated as objects, people then become subjects, and outsiders can act as "midwives", helping people to bring their wisdom into the wider world.

Research must be carried out with the necessary intellectual discipline. But each approach will require a combination of technical skills and of human qualities. The latter relate to the psychology, the ethics and the spirituality of the researcher. In addition to material deprivation, we may define poverty as the absence of self-respect and self confidence, the lack of awareness of the ability to transform oneself and one's surroundings, and the lack of an understanding of the power of united vision and action. In this case, spiritual qualities, such as humility, love, sincerity, patience, wisdom, perseverance and open-mindedness are called for in all development workers. In this way, development activities can become spirituality in action.

This dimension is at least as important as the technical dimension but, as Robert Chambers points out, it has seldom been a focus in the past. "The personal dimension is a bizarre blind spot in development", he writes. "Behaviour and attitudes have simply not been on the development map. As for beliefs, they have been debated almost entirely within the publicly contested areas of ideology and fundamentalisms, whether Marxist, neo-classical or

more overtly religious. Personal responsibility for actions and non-actions has not been a subject.”<sup>22</sup>

Experiencing a community means participation, sharing or at least feeling empathy for the joys and pains of people, its spirituality, its sense of beauty or justice. It means taking time. In social research, it is important to beware of impatience.

The ideal is not always attainable. The urgency of the situation of many people in the world today, leads social activists and development workers to want to cut corners, literally in order to save lives. Besides this, the resources for long-term social research are often not available. Concessions may have to be made, but these limitations should not lead us to lose sight of the vision of the kind of relationships which are essential as the basis of any true solidarity.

### **3.3. Identifying the voiceless: Who can speak for whom?**

Social reality and social work are caught in a dialectic between creativity and control. If the objective is to study local culture and to empower the underprivileged, special care must be exercised to listen to the voiceless and the least powerful. In a given place, one part of society may respond quite positively to influences and challenges from outside and benefit from development projects, whereas other parts may become isolated and fragmented.

This is particularly applicable to gender issues. On far too many occasions the opinions of local male leaders have been accepted as those of the “community”, often resulting in programmes which may bring in more income but also greatly increase the

---

<sup>22</sup> Chambers, Robert, *Whose Reality Counts? Putting the First Last*, Intermediate Technology Publications, 1997, pp 231-3..14

women's work load. But power relations, class distinctions, age, geographical origin, religious affiliations, etc. also contribute to shape sub-cultures and put them in a disadvantaged position. It is all too easy to listen only to those who speak the mainstream (often European) language, for instance, or to limit one's investigation to the villages which lie nearest to a road.

Moreover, sometimes it is more important to see how people actually behave rather than merely to ask them to voice an opinion. This means that Participative Action Research (PAR) is an appropriate approach, but it will only be productive if the researcher develops the kinds of attitudes described above.

## **4. Methodological hints for socio-cultural analysis**

### **4.1. The need to cope with complexity: Do our analytical methods distort reality?**

Science is excellent at "experimenting" (on guinea pigs, on particles, etc.), at observing (climatic changes, chemical reactions, etc.), and at defining causal relationships. But, because it depends on the use of empirical and quantifiable data, it is poor at "experiencing".

Scientific research has for too long been based on separation. It is time that science began to look at the whole, rather than the parts. In development studies, the "chop up and study the parts" method will not do.

Peoples' lives should not be compartmentalised by an approach which separates behaviour from its deeper meaning. This may often be hidden or at least implicit. In fact, all practices, including

economic practices, are rooted in the meaning which people give to their lives, that is in their culture.

Thus, any particular political or economic practice, and any technology must be linked up with its broader cultural context.

No mechanistic approach can apply to reality as a whole. Because of this, universally applicable tool kits, random questionnaires and similar methods inevitably miss the complexity and specificity of the situation

Nor is there any single, universally valid recipe to understand local culture. The method to be applied relates to the “object” of the research. Albert Einstein once observed that if you only have a hammer, all problems will look like nails. Social reality will only be understood, if approached with wisdom and a broad curiosity. The human soul will not be found with a surgeon’s lancet. This does not, of course, mean that the researcher can dispense with the necessary intellectual discipline. Rigour and thoroughness are required, but not at the expense of humanness and sensitivity.

How development processes are carried out is not a trifling matter. The consequences of ignoring the people who are supposed to benefit have in many cases been disastrous. Robert Chambers asks, for instance, what might have turned out differently regarding people and their environment, if participatory approaches and methods had been used. What might have happened if professionals had listened to, believed and learnt from rural people about their history and environment, and if they had understood the nature and rationale of rural people’s practices? In reply to his own question, he suggests that it is reasonable to suppose that in Ethiopia there would have been massive terracing and in Kenya tree planting programmes,

reducing vulnerability and famines and advancing well-being on a huge scale.<sup>23</sup>

#### **4.2. Looking at the positive aspects of a local group: What are the most valuable assets which people may bring to a development programme?**

People are not first and foremost "problems". It is therefore important to look at the positive aspects of a community, not just the negative ones. Methods of social analysis which look at "problems", "needs", "lacks" and "obstacles" tend to put local people into a situation of negativity, passivity, dependence, weakness or fatalism. Problems may certainly be there and need to be identified, but not separately from people's abilities, richness, beauty, success-stories, struggles and values ... the positive side of their life.

Thus, when using, for example, the Log Frame method, which calls for the participative drawing of a "tree" of local problems, one might add a collective exercise to identify in a "tree of know-how and skills" the local assets available to solve these problems.<sup>24</sup> Examples of such assets might be the knowledge of medicinal herbs, skills in pottery, weaving and other crafts, organisational capacities, and the existence of networks which provide support and solidarity to those who most need them. In this way cultural elements will necessarily be included in the project identification.

---

<sup>23</sup> Chambers, Robert, (see footnote no.22) pp 238-9

<sup>24</sup> *Cultures and Development* (see footnote no.3) no.27/28, March 1977 on "Methodology for Social and Cultural Analysis and Action"

---

### **4.3. Looking at the signs: “What are the dreams of the people? What has been their experience of pain?”**

A culture, a people, a village "speak" in many ways. Silence can be very eloquent and tell an observer as much as articulate answers. As mentioned above, intuition and careful observation are required for an understanding of the non-verbal language of a community. Written and oral data, even of qualitative, non-directive interviews are never wholly reliable. Questions may pre-determine the answer, jeopardise the openness of the interviewer to what happens and hinder the expression of many of the people's most important aspirations and "needs". Put in Maori parlance, it is advisable to ask oneself : "What are the dreams of the people? What has been their experience of pain ?".

To look at the implicit meaning of local practices is the bottom line of socio-cultural analysis. One of the reasons why questionnaires or check-lists can only be very partially useful is the necessity to go beyond the explicit. Practices should not be selected *a priori*. They often pertain to a deeper meaning which is difficult to understand, secret, invisible and even unconscious.

## **5. Conclusion**

### **5.1. To give a soul to globalisation: Which is the way to human freedom?**

The present force for globalisation is underpinned by a competitive drive towards economic growth. But the supremacy of the market and the ever-increasing control exercised by the multinational corporations are bringing many people to yearn for societies inspired by different values.

The US millionaire financier, George Soros, aptly points out that “markets are eminently suitable for the pursuit of private interests, but they are not designed to take care of the common interest”<sup>25</sup>. It follows that market mechanisms should not be considered an end in themselves, but merely a means towards a higher goal. Amartya Sen powerfully suggests that this goal could be called freedom<sup>26</sup>. But it would seem that today we are witnessing a clash between human freedom and market freedom, which all too often ends in the steamroller of profit maximisation crushing human efforts to flourish, create and develop autonomously. In this paper, we have tried to point out that the idea of “progress” being a purely material goal is alien to most people of the world. Because of this, “development” processes which are planned and implemented with only this in mind will fail, even in their own terms. They will be resisted by people who find life’s meaning in an awareness of their innermost spiritual being, which for some signifies the spark of the divine. And they will be resisted by people who see life as an integral whole, in which the relationships of compassion and respect among human beings, and between them and their natural environment are decisive, if humanity is to achieve true fulfilment.

“True economics are economics of justice”, wrote Mahatma Gandhi. Firmly rooted in all religious belief is the notion that the pursuit of power and wealth, particularly at the expense of others, can never lead to contentment. For Buddhists, greed and the dependence on material gain is a prime cause of suffering. The

---

<sup>25</sup> Soros, George, *Open society: Reforming Global Capitalism*, Little, Brown and Company, 2000 p. xii.

<sup>26</sup> Sen, Amartya, (see footnote no.4).

Jewish, Muslim and Christian tradition of sharing wealth is at the centre of their approach to economics.

Social justice, environmental balance and spiritual depth must be the measuring rods of a humane world system. To engage in the creation of the “economics of justice” requires the inner strength to swim against the tide.

Faced with burning social and ecological issues, it is urgent that we should find more sustainable ways to organise life on our planet, ways which enable genuine human freedom and cultural diversity to thrive.

Development strategies and projects still have a role to play. But even more important than these specific inputs are efforts to transform the global trends which are hindering the autonomous development of people according to their own cultural norms and practices. Corporate support and initiative in the direction of such a world order already exist and should be encouraged.<sup>27</sup> We must give a soul to globalisation.

## **5.2. Neither cultural apartheid nor a globalising merger: How can globalisation be used as a force to foster diversity in unity?**

The perspective of the Bahá'í faith is based on the idea that meaningful development requires the harmonisation of the seemingly antithetical processes of globalisation and decentralisation, of the promotion of universal standards and the fostering of cultural diversity. The consequences of globalisation

---

<sup>27</sup> Two examples are Business for Social Responsibility in the United States (<http://www.bsr.org/>) and the Ethical Trading Initiative in the United Kingdom (<http://www.ethicaltrade.org>)

may be experienced by many people as largely negative, but increased communications do offer us a unique occasion to learn from each other. Never before have young people, for instance, been given so many opportunities to meet their counterparts from different continents nor, in the past, have followers of different religions had the chance to discover what they hold in common, in the way that is possible to-day.

Globalisation has been used as a force towards homogeneity and uniformity, but at the same time it can offer an opportunity for the careful tending of our diversity in unity – a task which, as this paper has tried to show, calls for our unrelenting commitment. Just as forests are sustainable thanks to bio-diversity, so humankind needs cultural diversity for its survival. Each culture, each civilisation is called upon to relate to others in a spirit of joyful interest and compassionate love, lest we fall into the deadly war games of Samuel Huntington's "clash of civilisations"<sup>28</sup>

We have noted, we hope with due realism and understanding, that relating to the strangeness and newness of the other and entering into dialogue may be a difficult and at times a painful exercise. But it is one of the highest callings of the human being. The Qur'an suggests that the Muslim faithful should go to remote places in order to learn and enrich themselves.

Relationship is the difficult yet life-enhancing path between the extremes of separation and fusion. This is a key tenet of modern psychology. It also constitutes a fundamental paradigm in Christianity and plays an important part in other world faiths. Relating to the other is a matter of opening up, while remaining true to oneself. Experience shows that those who manage to do

---

<sup>28</sup> See footnote no.14

this invariably enrich their own lives in ways that cannot be foreseen.

Neither cultural apartheid in indifference or enmity, nor total merger into a universal monoculture are sustainable propositions. The sustainability of the world lies in multiplicity in unity. Each religious and spiritual tradition will express this unity in diversity in its own words, referring either to the energy of love or to the search for cosmic harmony and beauty. The Russian writer Fyodor Dostoyevski wrote that Beauty could save the world. “We are meant to shine” said Nelson Mandela. “We were born to make manifest the glory of God that is within us. It is not just in some of us. It is in Everyone”.

**Additional Bibliography**

1. *Africa's Management in the Nineties and Beyond: Reconciling Indigenous and Transplanted Institutions*, The
2. World Bank, Washington, 1996.
3. Capra, Fritjof, *The Turning Point. Science, Society and the Rising Culture, Flamingo*, Fontana Press, London,
4. 1983.
5. *Cultures and Development* (Journal of the South-North Network Cultures and Development, Brussels)
6. N° 35/36: special issue on "The Spirituality of Social Commitment", November 1999.
7. *Diversité des cultures et mondialisation. Au-delà de l'économisme et du culturalisme*, Henry Panhuys and
8. Hassan Zaoual, (eds.), L'Harmattan, Grel, Réseau Sud Nord Cultures et Développement, Paris, 2000.
9. Freire, Paulo, *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*, Sheed and Ward, London, 1972.
10. Goulet, Denis, *Development Ethics. A Guide to Theory and Practice*, The Apex Press, New York, 1995.
11. Harper Sharon (ed.), *The Lab, the Temple and the Market: Reflections at the Intersection of Science*,
12. *Religion and Development*, The International Development Research Centre (IDRC) and Kumarian Press, 2000.

13. Haverkort, Bertus & Hiemstra, Wim (eds), *Food for Thought: Ancient Visions and New Experiments of Rural People*, ETC/COMPAS in association with Books for Change and Zed Books Ltd. 1999.
14. Marshall, Katherine, "Making Sense of Development Debates", Development Discussion Paper n° 629,
15. Harvard Institute for International Development, Harvard University, Cambridge, 1998.
16. Muzaffar, Chandra, "Globalisation and Global Equity", Religions and Poverty (Seminar Report), World
17. Conference of Religion and Peace, New York, 1998.
18. Panikkar, Raimon, "The Contemplative Mood; A Challenge to Modernity", *Cross Currents*, Fall 1981.
19. "Alternatives to Modern Culture" and "Crosscultural Economics", in *Interculture* (Journal
20. of the Intercultural Institute of Montreal), 1982.
21. Polanyi, Karl, *The great Transformation. The Political and Economic Origins of our Time*, Beacon Press,
22. Boston (original 1944), 1956.
23. Rahman, M. D. Anisur, *People's Self-Development. Perspectives on Participatory Action Research*, Zed Books,
24. London and University Press, Dhaka, 1993.
25. Rahnama, Majid, with Bawtree Victoria (eds.), *The Post-Development Reader*, Zed Books, London, 1971.

27. Rist, Gilbert, *The History of Development. From Western Origins to Global Faith*, Zed Books, London, 1997.
28. Sachs, Wolfgang, *The Development Dictionary*, Zed Books, London, 1992.
29. Tyndale, Wendy, "Poverty and Development : Has Religion A Contribution to Make?" Background paper from the World Faith Development Dialogue for the Millennium World Peace Summit of Religious and Spiritual Leaders, July 2000



*... go to the people,  
Live among them,  
Learn from them,  
Start with what they know.  
Build on what they have.  
remember ... that the best leaders,  
when their work is done,  
the people all remark,  
"We have done it ourselves"*